

# **Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project**

**Results from Phase I** 

### Opportunities and Benefits Arising from Enhanced Energy Efficiency and Renewables in Moldova

Academy of Sciences of Moldova, Institute of Power Engineering,

> Leogrand Hotel, Chisinau, Moldova

March 02, 2012

# **Presentation Outline**

- Establishment of MARKAL for Moldova
- Reference Scenario Assumptions and Evolution
- Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Scenarios
- Country Analyses
- Conclusions and Next Steps
- >ANNEXES

# Establishment of MARKAL for Moldova

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>3</sup>

# **MARKAL - Moldova Model Development**

- Results reported in this Briefing reflect two years of model development and use, jointly undertaken by the Ministry of Economy (MoE), the Institute of Power Engineering of Academy of Sciences of Moldova and the Alliance for Energy Efficiency and Renewables (AEER) Moldova.
- In 2009, after joining USAID/Hellenic Aid SYNENERGY Project, and with guidance of experts from International Resources Group (IRG) and Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES), the initial MARKAL- Moldova model was established.
- The **base year is 2006**, and costs are in EURO2006PPP.
- Depiction of the Moldova energy system is based upon official statistical data and reports of the National Bureau of Statistics of Moldova, National Agency for Energy Regulation, and other official sources.

### **Establishing Moldova MARKAL Capacity**



### **Practical Applications of MARKAL-Moldova (1/2)**

### International Projects (2009-2012):

- USAID/Hellenic AID (IRG/CRES) SYNENERGY Strategic Planning for Policy Analysis and Formulation
- Results of MARKAL-Moldova model were used to prepare input data for GAINS Model (Greenhouse gas - Air pollution Interactions and Synergies)
- FP-7 Project: PROMITHEAS-4 to look at various tools available for energy planning in the region
- IEA-ETSAP MARKAL/TIMES Workshop, Stockholm, Sweden, June 24, 2010 presentation

http://www.etsap.org/Workshop/Stockholm\_Sweden\_2010/Index.htm

### **Practical Applications of MARKAL-Moldova (2/2)**

#### National projects (2009-2012)

- Various short and mid term analyses\_by request of Ministry of Economy
  - Policy formulation for energy equipment labeling.
  - NEEAP 20% improving energy efficiency by year 2020.
  - Energy Community Secretariat Task Force Data Requests
    - Regional Energy Strategy
    - Renewable Energy
    - Gas to Power

#### **Related ASM/IPE Activities**

- Ministry of Economy During year 2010 ASM/IPE experts participated in activities of the Working Group for Policy Formulation "Promotion of electricity saving at the final use level". Order nr126 of 21.06.2010 of Minister of Economy.
- Ministry of Environment and UNDP- ASM/IPE experts participated in activities of the Working Group for LEDS - Low Emission Development Strategy. Order nr. 87 of 12.10.2010 of Minister of Environment.

#### **Publication**

Sergiu Robu, Elena Bikova, Philip Siakkis, Dr. George Giannakidis, "MARKAL Application for Analysis of Energy Efficiency in Economic Activities of the Republic of Moldova and Feasible use of Renewable Energy Sources", Electronic Journal nr. 2(13) (2010), Problems of the Regional Energetics <u>http://ieasm.webart.md/data/m71\_2\_145.doc</u>

# Evolution of the Energy System of Moldova Under the Reference Scenario

#### **Reference Scenario**

- No significant change of the existing energy system, with the main focus being extending the operating lifetime of existing facilities (with performance improvement).
- >Option to construct **coal-fired power plant** from 2015.

#### Key Aspects of the Energy System to be Considered

- What policies should be encouraged to shape primary energy supply to promote energy security and diversification (e.g., levels of renewables in the energy mix, coal power plant, long-term contracts for gas imports), a key policy objective of the government?
- How will the energy sector develop under business-as-usual conditions to meet the projected economic growth?
- >Where should policies aimed at promoting **energy efficiency** measures be targeted?
- How to reduce reliance on **electricity imports** and diversify supply?
- >What is the future for **coal and gas** in the power industry?
- What are the possibilities and requirements to move towards indicative EC/EU targets for energy efficiency, renewables, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions?
- What investment will be required, and what will be the impact on the cost for energy, under various policies to shape energy future of Moldova?

### Key Assumptions for the Reference Scenario (1/2)

Supply & Power Sector	Assumptions Guiding the Reference Scenario
International energy prices	Average forecast of IEA over the modeling horizon
Oil extraction	16 kt in2009, investments providing extraction of 100 kt by 2020
Gas imports	No new projects (South Stream; NABUCO)
Import of electricity , or production at Moldavian TPP	By year 2030 maximum of 25% of total electricity consumption may come from imports
Share of coal in the fuel mix	No limits on coal in the fuel mix, and no limits on capacity of new coal power plant
Hydro capacity potential	No new small hydro in Reference scenario
Wind capacity potential	Max of 200MW total technical potential potential
Solar capacity potential	Total 320MW (theoretical potential, where either solar hot water or PV but not both at the same site)
Heat production by centralized heat supply gas -boilers	Not less than 95% from total by 2015 and 80% by 2030

### Key Assumptions for the Reference Scenario (2/2)

Demand Sectors	Assumptions Guiding the Reference Scenario
Fossil fuel demand for all sectors	No limits on fuel consumption
Energy saving	Limited introduction of conservation or demand management measures
End use sectors	Residential sector will remain the main energy consumer of the country (accounting for nearly 50% of total consumption)
Air conditioning	Air conditioning will increase substantially in the future due to availability of technology on the market and improved quality of life
New technologies in households, public and commercial sectors	Share of advanced technologies for space, water heating and air-conditioning will not exceed 2% in 2020 and 5% in 2030
Rehabilitation of residential and commercial buildings	Share of buildings undergoing rehabilitation not to exceed 2% in 2020 and 5% in 2030
Subsidies	Elimination of cross-subsidies for different consumer types by 2020

## **Key Data Sources**

Data Type	Data Source/Assumptions
Primary energy supply by fuel*	Energy balance of the Republic of Moldova
Fuel processing: energy inputs and outputs	Energy balance of the Republic of Moldova
Domestic and imported fuel prices	Reports ANRE (National Agency for Energy Regulation) & IEA
Electricity import and export prices	Reports ANRE
Base-year number of households, persons per household, fuel consumption by subsector, share of consumption to each end-use, value added for each subsector, electricity for transport	Statistical yearbook of Moldova
Power Plants existing capacity, planned retirements, fuel consumption, electricity and/or heat production, efficiency and availability, ratio of electricity to heat for CHPs, minimum operation by plant type. Electricity, gas, and heat losses.	<ul> <li>Energy balance of the Republic of Moldova</li> <li>ACTIVITY REPORT 2008 of ANRE.</li> <li>Centralized heat supply Company of Chisinau – TERMOCOM</li> </ul>
Final energy consumption: by sector and fuel	Energy balance of the Republic of Moldova
Population [by 0.28% average per year]	Statistical yearbook of Moldova; UNDP. Human development Report.
GDP [average 6% per year]	Statistical yearbook of Moldova; WB Forecasts; National Bank of Moldova
Future import and production limits *MARKAL-Moldova is calibrated to the 2006 energy picture, and fuel prices are u	Energy Strategy of Moldova till 2020

Agency for Energy Regulation information.

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>12</sup>

# **Key Indicators from the Reference Scenario**

Indicator	2006	2030	Annual growth rate (%)	Overall growth (%)
Primary Energy (Ktoe)	1723	2806	2.1%	63%
Final Energy (Ktoe)	1324	2060	I.9%	55%
Power plant capacity (MW)	360	1400	5.8%	290%
Imports (Ktoe)	1626	2653	2.1%	63%
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (Kt)	3464	7886	3.5%	128%
GDP (€ Mill. 2006PPP)	7000	28343	6.0%	305%
Population (000s)	3589	3842	0.3%	7.0%
Final Energy intensity (toe/k€GDP)	0.19	0.073	-3.9%	-62%
Final Energy intensity (toe/Capita)	0.37	0.54	1.6%	45%

- Primary energy supply grows by 63% by 2030, with final energy consumption growing by 55%.
- > Electricity generation capacity expands from 360 to 1400MW.
- > Higher **imports** growing more than 60% by 2030.
- Rather optimistic assumption regarding economic growth, averaging around 6% per annum (so the resulting requirements for the energy system may be on the high side of what will actually be needed).
- Energy consumption per unit of GDP is estimated to be 62% lower than 2006 as a result of anticipated improvements in technologies.
- > CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will increase from 3,464kt to 7,886kt corresponding to a rise of 128%.

# **Reference Scenario – Total Primary Energy**



- Gas use decreases due to investment in new coal-based capacity starting in 2015, moving from 66% of primary energy supply in 2006 to 38% in 2030.
- Electricity imports decrease from 14% to 6% in 2030.
- Biomass supply will double, to 131 ktoe in 2030.
- Coal imports increase from 6.4% to 46.3% in 2030 due to the coalfired power plant.

### Total Primary Energy Supply (TPES), ktoe

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>14</sup>

# **Reference Scenario – Electricity Sector**



#### **Electricity Generation, GWh**

- Electricity imports were 2884 GWh or 70% of total generation in 2006, decreasing to 25% of total by 2030.
- Existing gas-fired generation decreases from 1077 GWh or 26% in 2006 to 870 GWh (10%) in 2030, due to new coal-fired power plant coming online in 2015.
- Generation from coal increases from 946 GWh in 2015 to 5160 GWh in 2030, reaching 60% of total, with installed capacity reaching 710MW by 2030.
- ➤ Construction of coal PP will cost 770 M€; and 125M€ for 330MW of new gas PP
- Hydro power plants generate
   105 GWh per year over the entire planning horizon

# **Reference Scenario – Final Energy Consumption**



- Overall energy consumption increases by 60% in 2030, relative to 2006.
- The residential remains dominant accounting for more than 50% of total demand throughout the planning horizon.
- Natural gas remains the main fuel for direct consumption, increasing from 511ktoe to 642ktoe in 2030, however percentage wise gas moves from 39% down to 31%.
- Commercial sector natural gas increases most,60%, mainly used for heating.
- Electricity increases from 17% to 29% of total consumption as the new coal units come online.
- The rest of the fuels increase proportionally with the demands.

#### Total Final Energy Consumption (TFEC), ktoe

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>16</sup>

# **Reference Energy System Expenditures**



- ➤ Even with moderate growth in energy prices, during next 25 years payments for fuel will increase by 220% to about 744M€ per year, which is two thirds of the energy system expenditures.
- Purchases of new demand devices grow to 263M€ per year by 2030, 3.5 times as much as the 73M€ per year for new power plants.

# Assessment of the Implications of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Policies

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>18</sup>

### **Policy Drivers and Implications for Alternate Scenarios**

**Energy Efficiency (EE)** scenario examines measures to identify those demand-side options that are "economically" attractive without policies to further promote conservation and the uptake of efficient technologies.

**Renewable Energy (RE)** scenario explores what is most cost-effective way to achieve the EC proposed RE target for Moldova, in line with Energy Strategy RE goals.

#### Key Insights Arising from EE/RE Policies to be Examined

- In which sectors of the energy system will EE/RE measures have the most significant impact (benefits)?
- How much (additional) direct investment will be required to achieve the RE target?
- To what degree are investments in EE/RE projects offset by the reduction of fuel expenditures, notably for imports?
- > How do EE/RE policies impact the energy and electricity generation mix?
- $\succ$  What is the impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions?

### **Key Differences From the Reference Scenario**

	Reference [REF]	ENERGY EFFICIENCY [EE]	RENEWABLE ENERGY [RE]
RE Target	No target Imposed	As in Reference	Increase to 20% of Renewable Energy share of TFEC to 2020
New technologies in the residential, budget and commercial sectors	The share of new technologies will not be more than 5% by2030	New devices for heating, water heating and air conditioning may be up to 20% in 2020 and 50% in 2030	Same as Reference
Heat production by municipal boiler	Not less than 32% in total heat production by 2030	Only require 15% by 2030	Same as Reference
Heat production by municipal gas boiler houses	Not less than 80% from total heat production by boiler houses by 2030	Only require 50% by 2030	Same as Reference
Fuel consumption by	N/A	As in Reference	Biofuels — not exceed 10% in fuels mix for transport
road transport by 2030	N/A	As in Reference	Consumption by electric vehicles will not exceed 5%

# **Energy Efficiency Economic Potential**

- Reference scenario assumes that primarily conventional demand devices and limited conservation is remain the norm.
- The EE scenario essentially removes these limits permitting up to half of all new device purchases to be advanced devices by 2030.
- Identifies most important programs and policies to consider to foster energy savings, such as establishing appliance and building standards, limiting access to inefficient devices (e.g., prohibiting incandescent bulbs).
- Determines the economic optimal penetration level of the efficient and conservation options, and the resulting energy savings and other benefits.

### **Summary of Benefits Arising from EE&RE Policies**

Policy driver / Scenario	Reference	Renewables	Energy Efficiency**	Renewables and Energy Efficiency
Energy security and diversification	<ul> <li>Gas imports decrease to 30%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduces overall imports 3.1%</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduces overall imports 6.8%.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduces overall imports</li> <li>9.05%</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>No reliance on imported electricity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Encourages wind / biomass, and small</li> <li>PV at final users.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>But less wind / biomass</li> </ul>
Energy system costs and competitiveness [*]	<ul> <li>Total cost of the energy system</li> <li>€9,187 Million</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stimulates 260€M investment in renewable market , mainly wind &amp; biomass</li> <li>Increases energy system cost by 0.4%</li> <li>Increase expenditure on biomass by 12€M</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New power plant investment are reduced by 270€M</li> <li>Total of 430MW less new power plants built (180MWcoall and 250MWgas)</li> <li>Saves 709€M on payments for fuel</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduces the cost of meeting the RE Target by 80€M</li> <li>Save 795€M on payments for fuel</li> </ul>
CO <sub>2</sub> mitigation	<ul> <li>Emissions increase by 130% by 2030 owing to increased coal and gas use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4.9% cumulative reduction due to less use of coal/gas-fired PP</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8.3% cumulative reduction due to an overall 4.9% drop in consumption</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>11.6% cumulative reduction due to an overall 5.04% drop in consumption and less use of coal / gas-fired PP</li> </ul>

\*The analysis does not provide full insights into the macroeconomic impacts of these policies, as it does not account for the allocation of financial resources across other sectors of the economy, as is done by general equilibrium models. However, sustainable economic growth inherently requires minimizing the cost of the energy system.

\*\* The costs associated with implementing EE measures are only partially captured.

# Competitiveness



Total Final Energy Consumption (TFEC) / GDP

- The high GDP growth rate assumed and relatively slow increase in energy consumption brings energy required per unit of GDP in 2030 down to 0.073 toe/1000€, nearly 60% lower than 2006, with another 10% reduction under EE.
- This improvement is due to the shutting down of many energy intensive industries and older power plants, and the increasing role of
   2035 the commercial sector in the economy, along with assumptions regarding
   DP basic improvement in demand devices.

# **Energy Security Benefits**

- Combined EE+RE policies cut total imports by 35% below Reference
- Annual imports of Coal reduced by by 340ktoe or 25% and LPG Gas by 92ktoe or 9%
- ➤ Total balance of payment for imports are reduced by 198€M, 237€M and 283€M respectively



### Change in Primary Energy, ktoe

### **Power Plant Capacity Additions**



### **New Power Plants Added / Avoided, GW**

60MW less wind

only.

compared with RE-

# **Final Energy Savings Under EE**



### **Overall Energy System Costs/Savings**



# Change in Total Energy System Costs, 2006MEuro

- RE Targets can be achieved at relatively modest additional costs (0.4% or 35M€).
- EE policies can save a total of 264M€ or nearly 3% compared to the Reference scenario.
- Combined policies result in achieving the RE target while still realizing an overall savings of 237M€, or 2.6%.

# **Energy System Expenditures**



#### ➤ The combined scenario achieves an overall savings of 110 €M per year by 2030.

### Change in Energy System Expenditures

# **Climate Change Implications**





USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>29</sup>

# **Summary Conclusions for EE&RE Policies**

- Energy Efficiency measures lead to less power plants additions and payments for fuel resulting in a more competitive energy system.
- Proposed (initial) EC RE Targets can be achieved at modest cost by means of additional, wind and biomass power plants, along with more direct consumption of biomass.
- Coordinating RE Targets with increased Energy Efficiency lowers the cost of RE compliance owing to the overall drop in energy consumption, which thereby reduces the total amount of RE needed.
- Further CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions are achieved when RE Targets are combined with enhanced Energy Efficiency measures, which could be traded on the world carbon exchange.

# **Country Analyses**

# A Closer Look At Energy Efficiency

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>31</sup>

# **Examining Higher Levels of Energy Savings**



- Total system cost decreases by 2.9% at the economically attractive level in the EE scenario, achieving a reduction of 2.2Mtoe (4.9%) in consumption.
- ➤ To achieve the national goal of 20% reduction, total system cost gradually increases, but only 78 €M or 0.9%.
- At this level additional benefits arising include further reduction of imports by another 2% (1.1Mtoe) and CO<sub>2</sub> by 3% (4Mt).

# **Incremental Measures to Achieve Higher Levels**

# of Energy Savings



Most attractive measures

- Residential advanced gas technologies for heating and hot water, efficient lighting and building insulation
- · Improved process heat equipment for the food industry
- Natural gas equipment in non-metallic mineral industry

- Measures to the left of the y-axis are those that produce both energy and economic savings.
- Each line represents a 10% increase in the level of energy savings, where the wider and shorter the "step" the more savings achieved per Euro.
- So to reach the 20% reduction target of about 4400ktoe requires 78 €M.
- At each step a host of measures may be introduced including CFLs, insulation, better heat pumps and wood stoves, etc.

# Summary of Key Indicators in Response to

## **Energy Efficiency**

Final Energy Saving as % of the EE Scenario Saving	Total Energy System Costs (2006€)	Primary Energy (ktoe)	Imports (ktoe)	Fuel Expenditures (2006M€)	Power Plant Builds (MW)	Final Energy (ktoe)	CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions (kt)
50%	-157	-1,955	-1,960	-443	-294	-1,115	-4,280
100% (EE)	-264	-3,773	-3,783	-838	-430	-2,214	-12,047
150%	-240	-4,756	-4,719	-969	-392	-3,287	-13,806
180%	-211	-5,245	-4,803	-1,026	-335	-3,957	-14,200
200%	-186	-5,673	-4,866	-1,076	-315	-4,401	-14,475
240%	-89	-6,004	-4,872	-1,087	-151	-5,284	-14,582

The maximum combination of energy security, economic and environmental benefits occur at about 1.5 times the strictly economically level of energy savings.

### **Conclusions and Next Steps**

- Moldova's energy system is complex and assessing policies and alternatives to foster energy security and competiveness, while considering environmental goals, will be an ongoing necessity.
- Moldova now has in place a world-class energy system model and policy analysis framework, MARKAL-Moldova, with the expertise to use it effectively residing at ASM/IPE.
- As part of readying MARKAL-Moldova to contribute to policy deliberations, a consensus building process should be undertaken engaging key stakeholders to:
  - Determine (a range of) values for key model assumptions, and
  - Identify alternative development options and opportunities to be considered.
- Employ MARKAL-Moldova to provide insights and analytic rigor for decision-makers to formulate policies that will shape the evolution of Moldova's future energy system.

### **Contacts for Further Information**

ASM/IPE - Sergiu Robusergiu.robu@asm.mdASM/IPE - Elena Bicovaelena-bicova@mail.ruUSAID - Jamshid HeidarianJHeidarian@usaid.govIRG - Gary Goldsteingary.a.goldstein@gmail.comCRES - George Giannakidisggian@cres.gr

# ANNEXES

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>37</sup>

Category	Assumption
GDP growth rate	6% annual
Sectoral growth rates <sup>14</sup>	
Residential	2.7% Average Annual (Av.An.)
Commercial	3.0% (Av.An.)
Industry	2.2% (Av.An.) up to 2015, 1.6% (Av.An.) 2015 onwards
Agriculture	1.6% (Av.An.)
Population growth rate	0.4% (Av.An.)up to 2015, 0.2% (Av.An.)2015 onwards
HH number growth rate	1.2% (Av.An.)up to 2015, 0.9% (Av.An.)2015 onwards
Key policies modelled	
	Feed-in Tariffs (FIT) for small hydro (100 €/MWh), wind (97
	€/MWh) and PV (420 €/MWh), with associated potential.

### **Key Cumulative Indicators (Change for the Reference Scenario)**

Scenario	Savings i Co	Savings in System Cost		Primary Energy		Imports		Power Plant Builds	
	2006M€	%	ktoe	%	ktoe	%	GW	%	
Reference	9,187		59,322		55,943		1.03		
Renewable Target (RE)	35	0.38%	-1,741	-0.98%	-1,741	-3.11%	0.17	16.20%	
Energy Efficiency (EE)	-264	-2.87%	-3,783	-6.36%	-3,783	-6.76%	-0.43	-41.83%	
RE Target + Efficiency (EE+RE)	-237	-2.58%	-5,064	-6.96%	-5,064	-9.05%	-0.31	-29.84%	

Scenario	Final Energ	y Difference	CO2 Emissions		
	ktoe	%	МТ	%	
Reference	45,175		145,788		
Renewable Target (RE)	-4	-0.01%	-7,109	-4.88%	
Energy Efficiency (EE)	-2,214	-4.90%	-12,047	-8.26%	
RE Target + Efficiency (EE+RE)	-2,275 -5.04%		-16,833	-11.55%	

USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>39</sup>

### **Reference Energy System in MARKAL-Moldova Model**



USAID Regional Strategic Energy Planning Project Briefing | March 2, 2012 – Chisinau, Moldova <sup>40</sup>